

Nanopublication – Testing Philosophical Intuitions Through Vignettes, Statistics, and Corpus Data

by Arnaud Quercy

This SEP entry is a scholarly survey of experimental philosophy as a research methodology (vignette studies, corpus analysis, statistical inference, debates over the evidential value of intuitions), and the ideamorphist vocabulary of emitter, overture, codex, and diffraction has no purchase on this material – it is not describing an artistic emission being received, but a scientific practice of testing empirical assumptions about concepts and judgments.

DECLINED – NO-PURCHASE [1]

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Not evaluated (37) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: DECLINED:no-purchase – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-04-09 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 172,541 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Title it carried : « Experimental Philosophy and Śāntarakṣita: Ideamorphic Perspectives on Cognition and Ontology »

This entry on experimental philosophy illuminates the ideamorphic concept of the 'overture' – the perceptual and cognitive framing that shapes how ideas are received and transformed. Experimental philosophy examines how people's intuitions and judgments about philosophical questions can vary based on factors like cultural background, emotional state, and contextual cues. This speaks directly to the ideamorphic view that an idea is not simply 'expressed' but rather undergoes diffraction as it passes through different 'ouvertures'. The entry's discussion of how experimental methods can reveal the contingency of philosophical intuitions resonates with the ideamorphic emphasis on generative loss and the rejection of the myth of expression.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-04-09 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Experimental Philosophy. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-04-09. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/experimental-philosophy/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	no purchase
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

a1f9e18f929c09226b5fb20338b026c3bd50eeb30150ef1dcc643878afbc3b0e

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0007
Identifier	NAN-RDG000008
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0007-testing-philosophical-intuitions-through-vignettes-statistic.pdf>