

Nanopublication – Nietzsche and Camus ground an absurdist transhumanism against uploading and bioconservatism

by Arnaud Quercy

This is a philosophical essay applying Nietzsche and Camus to argue for an 'absurdist transhumanism' against both utopian mind-uploading and bioconservatism – an existentialist bioethics argument with no artist, receiver, artwork, sensory diffraction, or codex in play, so the framework's machinery (emission, ouverture, transliteration between modalities, ricochet) has nothing to take hold of; the surface echo of 'vessel/passenger' in the mind-uploading passage is a coincidental metaphor about personal identity, not the framework's claim about artistic ideas crossing media.

DECLINED – NO-PURCHASE [1]

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Not evaluated (37) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: DECLINED:no-purchase – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-04-13 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 15,176 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Title it carried : « Nietzsche's Transhumanist Legacy and the Diffraction of Asceticism »

This article explores how Nietzsche's critique of asceticism has been diffracted through the lens of transhumanist thought. The author argues that contemporary transhumanists have taken Nietzsche's rejection of traditional religious and moral constraints and reinterpreted it as a justification for technological self-transformation. This is a case of the 'ricochet effect' - the intentional invariant (Nietzsche's anti-asceticism) has generated a new diffraction that the original thinker could not have anticipated. The article touches on key ideamorphic concepts like the ouverture (how Nietzsche's ideas are received and reinterpreted by transhumanists) and generative loss (the productive gaps and misalignments between Nietzsche's original philosophy and its contemporary technological manifestations).

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-04-13 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Beyond Ascetic Transhumanism. The Philosophical Salon, 2026-04-13. <https://thephilosophicalsalon.com/beyond-ascetic-transhumanism/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	no purchase
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

300b69cf32cdd797100c262fd2f03f5b856c65fa7a3d1d0901d9037a8d7a86b7

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author Arnaud Quercy

Asset code IDS-RNI0010

Identifier NAN-RDG000011
Version 1
Published 2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0010-nietzsche-and-camus-ground-an-absurdist-transhumanism-agains.pdf>