

Nanopublication – How logic can tolerate contradiction without collapsing into triviality

by Arnaud Quercy

This is a technical Stanford Encyclopedia entry on paraconsistent logic – a survey of formal consequence relations, explosion (ECQ), dialetheism, and specific logical systems (discussive logic, LFIs, many-valued logics, relevant logics) – and it contains no emitter, receiver, sense, gesture, or transliteration of an idea across modalities for the ideamorphism framework to grip; any apparent resonance (constraint taming disorder, invariant vs. interpretation) would only be a generic metaphor projectable onto any formal system, not a genuine test of the framework's claims.

DECLINED – NO-PURCHASE [1]

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Not evaluated (37) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: DECLINED:no-purchase – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-04-18 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 78,896 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Title it carried : « Paraconsistent Logic and the Ricochet of Contradictions »

This article on paraconsistent logic, which rejects the principle of explosion (ex contradictione quodlibet), illustrates the ideamorphic concept of the 'ricochet effect'. Rather than a contradiction simply negating itself or leading to a trivial conclusion, paraconsistent logic shows how contradictions can generate new and productive lines of inquiry. This resonates with the ideamorphic view that revealing an 'intentional invariant' does not correct diffraction, but rather generates a new diffraction. Paraconsistent logic embraces the generative potential of contradictions, rather than seeking to eliminate them – a stance aligned with ideamorphism's affirmation of 'generative loss' as the wellspring of creation.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-04-18 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Paraconsistent Logic. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-04-18. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/logic-paraconsistent/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	no purchase
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

4a343c40f3ee7d8db904f85a309733e56556d722cb1d3c6d97b1b16a866a108b

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0014
Identifier	NAN-RDG000016
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0014-how-logic-can-tolerate-contradiction-without-collapsing-into.pdf>