

Nanopublication – Selection-plus-mutation fits P7's shape – but so would almost anything with a filter

by Arnaud Quercy

The fit is exact but indiscriminating: variation-shaped-by-non-random-retention is one of the most general schemas in science (it structures markets, immune systems, cultural transmission, and more), so its presence in the modern synthesis confirms nothing specific to ideamorphism – anything built from noise plus a filter would satisfy P7 equally. The entry's actual philosophical stakes – units and levels of selection, species as individuals, the causalist/statisticalist debate, heritability's scope, phylogenetic underdetermination – carry no vocabulary the framework can touch at all. Purchase is confined to the one generic point, and it is too loose to bear weight.

WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS [1]

The entry surveys how biology defines 'evolution' – from Darwin's 'descent with modification' to population genetics' allele-frequency definition to broader developmental and cultural extensions – then catalogs the distinct modes by which evolution occurs (natural selection, genetic drift, mutation, migration, sexual selection), and closes with open philosophical disputes: whether selection and drift are causes or statistical summaries, what fitness means, whether species are individuals, how heredity and heritability should be delimited, and the underdetermination of phylogenetic trees by data.

WHERE THE LENS GRIPS

P7 (Deliberate Chance) grips the entry's account of natural selection versus genetic drift with real specificity: mutation and drift are explicitly the chance component, selection is explicitly the fitness-based filter that gives that chance a direction – 'the frequency of alleles that confer greater fitness would tend to increase.' This is structurally identical to P7's 'randomness framed by rules produces structure.'

WHERE IT BREAKS

Nothing – the reading reports none. The field is left empty by design: inventing an edge where the lens grips cleanly, or a grip where it fails, is the very fault this instrument exists to avoid.

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. "Not evaluated" does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Evaluated

proposition		audit status	relational verdict
P7	Deliberate Chance	not audited	triviality-warning

Not evaluated (36) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: Cheap fit – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading's judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-05-27 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 19,674 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Title it carried : « Evolution as Diffraction: How Populations Emit and Receive Biological Variation »

Evolution is the paradigmatic case of DIFFRACTION operating at the biological level. A genotype (the emission, the wave) passes through the overture of environment, embodiment, and selective pressure. The same genetic information diffracts into radically different phenotypes across populations and generations. The 'loss' is fidelity to parental form – and this loss IS the creative engine of speciation. Millstein's focus on 'changes in proportions of biological types' describes exactly the

mechanism ideamorphism names: one code, infinite receptions, each reception a new creation. Evolution refuses the myth of perfect transmission ($1=1$). It affirms that $1 \neq 1$ is the equation of all that matters – biologically.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-05-27 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

- [1] Evolution (Revised Entry). Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-05-27. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/evolution/>
- [2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	triviality warning
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

322ac1f1284921a7a9abd6ead94e495ef3de24b3cfe97b8e19f60037df4967a

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author Arnaud Quercy
Asset code IDS-RNI0047
Identifier NAN-RDG000076
Version 1
Published 2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
 an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
 Albany, NY 12207, USA
 +1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0047-selection-plus-mutation-fits-p7s-shape-but-so-would-almost-a.pdf>