

Nanopublication – Wisdom as humility, practical know-how, and the capacity to live well

by Arnaud Quercy

This SEP entry surveys virtue-epistemological debates about wisdom (Socratic humility, phronesis, living well, skill-vs-understanding models) and contains no emitter, receiver, wave, codex, transliteration, or diffraction dynamic for the ideamorphism lens to grip – the one surface echo (skill needing to be paired with explicability to advise, per Whitcomb/Stichter) is too generic a shape, shared by any expertise-plus-teaching domain, to count as a genuine test of P19a rather than a coincidental rhyme.

DECLINED – NO-PURCHASE [1]

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Not evaluated (37) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: DECLINED:no-purchase – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-07-02 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 57,210 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Title it carried : « Stanford's Epistemology Updates: Wisdom as Highest Good, Supervenience as Constraint »

Wisdom as 'highest epistemic good' is structurally a CODEX – a system of constraints that shapes how knowledge is received, integrated, and transmitted. The entry's framing suggests wisdom is not raw information but the overture through which facts become meaningful. A mind with wisdom diffracts incoming data differently than one without it. The question 'what makes knowledge wise?' is asking: what formal system of constraints determines whether a transmission becomes creation or mere recognition? This is the codex problem applied to epistemology itself.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-07-02 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Wisdom in Contemporary Analytic Philosophy. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-07-02. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/wisdom-analytic/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	no purchase
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

4906e331515b6c5fcbbb59153df4123fadbb131a76637e4f63e20cd7213730751

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0057
Identifier	NAN-RDG000093
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0057-wisdom-as-humility-practical-know-how-and-the-capacity-to-li.pdf>