

Nanopublication – The codex-stability clause matches the Origen problem exactly; the calibration clause does not survive contact with his own hierarchy of senses

by Arnaud Quercy

The line runs between two propositions asked of the same source: P3a passes because the Origen problem literally is a stability-of-the-codex problem, independent of framework vocabulary – mistranslation, hostile epitome, and posthumous condemnation are the mechanisms of instability, named in the source's own terms. P12 is where the lens overreaches: it can find the calibration shape in Origen's threefold sense, but that shape carries a hierarchy the framework was built to refuse, and the resemblance stops at the point where superiority enters.

WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS [1]

The entry reconstructs Origen's theology (Trinity, creation, the fall of rational beings, Christ's redemptive work, and a threefold – literal/moral/spiritual – reading of scripture) while continually flagging how uncertain that reconstruction is: his major systematic work survives only in Rufinus's Latin, translated 'with reverence but not always with fidelity'; hostile paraphrases by later enemies (Jerome, Epiphanius, Justinian) are the source of doctrines textbooks attribute to him; his library was ravaged by time and then targeted after his 553 condemnation; and modern scholars remain divided on whether he actually held the preexistence-and-fall-of-souls doctrine so often ascribed to him, or whether that doctrine is a later distortion of a more modest claim.

WHERE THE LENS GRIPS

P3a grips cleanly. The scholarly problem the article stages for two thousand years – texts survive, but what Origen actually meant does not automatically come with them – is a close, non-metaphorical match for the physical/intentional invariant split: the physical layer (extant fragments, Rufinus's Latin, hostile epitomes) is received, dimensionally intact, unable to be dissolved by the reader; the intentional layer (his true position on the soul's pre-existence, on apokatastasis, on the devil's fate) is exactly 'latent... activat-

able through dialogue' – and since Origen cannot be dialogued with, it stays contested, its 'robustness... depend[ing] on the stability of the codex that encoded it,' which here has been actively destabilized by mistranslation, selective quotation, and posthumous condemnation.

WHERE IT BREAKS

P12 breaks on contact with the source's own hermeneutic doctrine – though the break is contestable. Origen's threefold sense (literal=body, ecclesiastical=soul, spiritual/mystical=spirit) is a graduated-aperture mechanism: the advanced reader accesses a deeper layer the untrained reader cannot, which rhymes with P12's calibration structure. But P12 insists 'neither is superior, both are real'; Origen insists the opposite – the spiritual sense is truer, closer to God, reserved for the spiritually mature, and the merely literal reading is an immaturity to be outgrown (the same asymmetry echoes in P17's 'equality of legitimacy' among receptions, which Origen's ranked senses do not honor). X slips IF one reads Origen's threefold sense as an instance of the same calibration mechanism P12 describes; it holds – no break occurs – if one reads P12 as bounded to aesthetic/creative reception and Origen's doctrine as a claim about a single univocal divine truth, a different kind of object entirely, in which case the rhyme is only vocabulary, not a real test.

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. "Not evaluated" does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Evaluated

proposi- tion		audit status	relational verdict
P3a	Double Structure of the In-variant	not audited	evidence-for
P12	Aperture Calibration	not audited	pressure-against
P17	Multiplication of Creation	not audited	pressure-against

Not evaluated (34) – P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: Bounded – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-07-22 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 86,043 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Title it carried : « Origen's Allegorical Method and the Ricochet: When Interpretation Becomes Creation »

Origen's allegorical exegesis is a structural case of diffraction through interpretive ouverture. The sacred text (invariant physical form) enters the receiver's hermeneutic apparatus and emerges transformed—not corrupted, but generatively altered. Each reader's codex (theological training, spiritual disposition, cultural context) refracts the same scriptural wave into different meanings. The ricochet is explicit: Origen discovers what his own allegorical system generated only through the act of commentary itself. His later condemnation as heretic is itself a ricochet—the intentional invariant (his systematic method) revealed something the institution didn't anticipate. This is not metaphorical interpretation; it is the structural mechanics of how meaning propagates through constrained systems.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-07-22 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Origen. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-07-22. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/origen/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	bounded grip
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

4bf59ff54debf39466c933cafb84abbbf4287aa342ad99a81d3baf3f2e3831760

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0076
Identifier	NAN-RDG000136
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0076-the-codex-stability-clause-matches-the-origen-problem-exactl.pdf>