

Nanopublication – A sentence that calls itself false, and how logic tries to survive it

by Arnaud Quercy

This is a technical survey of formal semantics and logic (self-reference, truth predicates, paracomplete/paraconsistent/substructural solutions to the Liar) with no emitter, receiver, overture, or creative diffraction anywhere in it – the ideamorphism framework has no vocabulary that touches what this source is actually doing.

DECLINED – NO-PURCHASE [1]

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Not evaluated (37) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: DECLINED:no-purchase – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-07-27 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 146,351 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Title it carried : « The Liar Paradox as Self-Diffracting System: Logic's Overture Problem »

The liar paradox is a structural case of diffraction within a closed system. The statement 'this sentence is false' emits a signal (its propositional content) through the logical

overture of classical truth-value assignment. But the overture cannot receive it without transforming it – the signal diffracts into contradiction. This is not a bug in logic; it reveals that meaning cannot be lossless within self-referential systems. The paradox demonstrates that $1 \neq 1$ at the level of formal semantics: the same utterance cannot maintain invariant truth-value when it becomes its own receiver. Modern resolutions (paraconsistency, hierarchy, revision theory) are attempts to engineer the diffraction deliberately – to design an overture that can absorb self-reference without collapse. The codex of classical logic was not built to handle its own emission.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-07-27 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Liar Paradox. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-07-27. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/liar-paradox/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	no purchase
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

0c72c15e7fc306673221dd6e9d2d1c15e32f01e12f7f4363c43eb00d56aaa800

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0081
Identifier	NAN-RDG000146
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0081-a-sentence-that-calls-itself-false-and-how-logic-tries-to-su.pdf>