

Nanopublication – Somali Artists Contest Who Gets to Represent Their Nation at Venice

by Arnaud Quercy

This is a report on institutional consultation, colonial curatorial power, and national representation politics surrounding Somalia's Venice Biennale pavilion – a governance and legitimacy dispute about who gets to select and speak for a nation's art, not a case of an idea being emitted, encoded in a codex, and diffracted through a receiver's overture, so the framework's vocabulary has nothing to grip.

DECLINED – NO-PURCHASE [1]

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Not evaluated (37) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: DECLINED:no-purchase – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading's judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-05-12 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 7,110 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Somali cultural groups report they were not 'meaningfully consulted' or 'included' in the pavilion's curation. This is a structural failure of the overture: the institutional apparatus (Venice, the pavilion, the curator-

al process) has closed itself to the very receivers–Somali artists–whose diffraction should generate the work's meaning. Instead of engineering diffraction through dialogue and ricochet, the institution has engineered recognition: a pavilion that looks like inclusion but transmits without loss, without the productive misalignment that would make it Somali. The dilution crisis manifests here as institutional homogenization masquerading as representation. The codex remains external, imposed, unshared.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-05-12 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Somali Artists Take Issue With Nation's First-Ever Venice Biennale Pavilion. Hyperallergic, 2026-05-12. <https://hyperallergic.com/somali-artists-take-issue-with-nations-first-ever-venice-biennale-pavilion/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	no purchase
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

e4229110878d231b5162211468466a9b45379e7d5102ee899883a462b9e4f8b4

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0121
Identifier	NAN-RDG000054
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0121-somali-artists-contest-who-gets-to-represent-their-nation-at.pdf>