

Nanopublication – Why moral properties covary with but never reduce to natural facts

by Arnaud Quercy

This SEP entry is a technical analytic-philosophy survey of ethical supervenience – modal covariance between classes of properties, grounding, realism/anti-realism, conceptual vs. metaphysical necessity – and contains no artist, receiver, sense, wave, gesture, or codex for the ideamorphism framework to test itself against; any apparent overlap (its use of 'invariant', 'structure', 'necessary connection') is a coincidence of vocabulary between two unrelated technical uses, not a point of contact the lens can honestly probe.

DECLINED – NO-PURCHASE [1]

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Not evaluated (37) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: DECLINED:no-purchase – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-07-02 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 126,305 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Supervenience – the principle that ethical facts cannot change without physical facts changing – is a formal constraint system. It

describes a CODEX at the level of moral ontology: ethical properties are bound by rules of dependency on lower-level properties. The entry's exploration of 'merely possible circumstances' (counterfactuals) reveals the ricochet effect: once you specify the physical invariant, the ethical invariant becomes determinate, yet this determination itself generates new questions about freedom, responsibility, and moral agency. The constraint doesn't close the system – it opens new diffractive possibilities. This is engineering diffraction through formal constraint.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-07-02 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Supervenience in Ethics. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-07-02. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/supervenience-ethics/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	no purchase
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

3b73a99a752ed8c62253d449247f616dd1ab335ea328827683b30f4cdc4587db

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0150
Identifier	NAN-RDG000094
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0150-why-moral-properties-covary-with-but-never-reduce-to-natural.pdf>