

Nanopublication – A biographical profile grips the proto-codex shape, but only trivially

by Arnaud Quercy

The boundary is between a shape and a mechanism: the article supplies the proto-codex/crystallization SHAPE (constraint, substitution, stabilization) but none of the mechanism (articulable rules, intentional invariant, receiver dialogue) that would make the fit non-trivial – a warning that the shape alone is too permissive to test anything.

CONTEXT

▣ **Thin page** – the source itself is thin (teaser, notice, paywalled preamble). Distinct from truncation: nothing was cut by the pipeline.

WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS ^[1]

The article profiles painter Akira Ikezoe, describing his shift from depicting nude humans to anthropomorphic frogs, bears, and raccoons performing industrial and nuclear-plant labor, a shift he says was prompted by a nudity restriction at the Sharjah Biennial. It traces the animal motif partly to childhood memories of frogs on his grandparents' farm, and mentions his earlier Baby Recipes series, born from frustrations raising his son. The piece is a personality-driven feature; it stops (paywalled) before any extended discussion of method or meaning.

WHERE THE LENS GRIPS

The narrated arc – an externally imposed constraint (no nudity permitted) forcing a substitution that then 'stuck' as a recurring visual vocabulary – loosely fits the shape of P14a/P14b: an unstable, exploratory repertoire (proto-codex) settling into a stable motif (crystallization) through iteration and encounter, here an institutional one rather than an artistic feedback loop.

WHERE IT BREAKS

The fit is cheap, not sharp: nothing in the article distinguishes this from any ordinary account of an artist changing subject matter for practical reasons and the change becoming habitual. The piece never asks Ikezoe to articulate why frogs-and-bears rather than some other substitution – the formal/intimate double level the framework requires of a codex (P14) is entirely absent, as is any test of

explicability (P19a) or any mention of reception, diffraction, or multiple receivers (P17, P18a). The lens's specific machinery – invariant, wave, ricochet – finds no purchase; only its generic evolutionary shape (unstable start, later stability) is present, and that shape would equally fit almost any artist's stylistic development, framework or no framework.

PROPOSITIONAL GRID ^[2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Evaluated

proposition		audit status	relational verdict
P14a	The Proto-Codex	not audited	triviality-warning
P14b	Codex Crystallization	not audited	triviality-warning
P19a	Explicability as Condition	not audited	open-question

Remarkable silences

4 called for by what the reading found, and absent from the source.

- **P3 – Invariants**
the reading establishes only the generic evolutionary shape of stylistic change, but distinguishing this from ordinary artistic development requires the framework's specific invariant machinery (P3), which the reading explicitly says 'finds no purchase' in the article.
- **P14 – The Codex as Overture**
the reading establishes the proto-codex/crystallization shape (P14a/P14b) as the grip, but that shape without the codex's formal/intimate double structure (P14) is incomplete, and P14's structure is explicitly said to be entirely absent.
- **P17 – Multiplication of Creation**
the reading establishes a proto-codex/crystallization arc as the only thing the article supports, but that arc without any multiplica-

tion of creation through distinct receptions (P17) is not full ideamorphism, and the article is explicitly said to contain no mention of reception at all.

• **P18a** – The Ricochet Effect
the reading establishes the constraint-driven substitution as a mere shape, but the mechanism it says would make that shape non-trivial includes the ricochet effect of revealed intentional invariant (P18a), which the reading explicitly notes is nowhere in the piece.

Not evaluated (30) – P1, P2, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P15, P16, P16a, P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: Cheap fit – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-07-05 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 4,812 chars · 3 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Ikezoe's work demonstrates engineering diffraction through deliberate incompleteness and tonal dissonance. The 'cartoonish earnestness' is the codex – a formal constraint that creates resistance in the ouverture. Receivers expect either pure earnestness (sentiment) or pure irony (critique), but the work occupies the gap between them. This gap is where the catastrophe becomes visible – not through direct statement but through the productive friction between form and content. The frogs and bears are not expressing urgency; they are setting a trap that forces the receiver to complete the meaning. The sharpness emerges precisely because the surface appears soft. This is generative loss: the work loses the comfort of single tonal register and gains the capacity to activate what the viewer didn't know they carried about ecological collapse.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-07-05 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Akira Ikezoe's Frogs and Bears Have Something Urgent to Tell Us. Hyperallergic, 2026-07-05. <https://hyperallergic.com/akira-ikezoes-frogs-and-bears-have-something-urgent-to-tell-us/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	triviality warning
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 3 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

e15aa5e848d589ef5b3c0749a750daec982dc84665f97142a1f1a813b0fbf929

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0155
Identifier	NAN-RDG000099
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0155-a-biographical-profile-grips-the-proto-codex-shape-but-only.pdf>