

Nanopublication – How fiat and bona fide divisions carve contact, vagueness, and dimension

by Arnaud Quercy

This SEP entry is a technical survey of meta-physical theories of boundaries – spatial, temporal, and mereological puzzles about contact, fiat vs. bona fide demarcation, vagueness, and dimensionality – and none of the ideamorphism propositions (about ideas, trans-literation, codices, ouvertures, diffraction) make any claim that touches these questions; any apparent echo (e.g. fiat/bona fide sounding like physical/intentional invariant) would be a verbal coincidence rather than a tested claim.

DECLINED – NO-PURCHASE ^[1]

PROPOSITIONAL GRID ^[2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Not evaluated (37) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: DECLINED:no-purchase – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-07-18 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 167,068 chars · 1 attempt(s)

FIRST PASS – KEPT AS WRITTEN

Reading produced by the T50 RSS pass, before the boundary lens. It is reproduced UNCORRECTED. Keeping it is the point: without it, a changed title is just a changed title – with it, what the second pass refused becomes legible.

Varzi's revised entry on boundaries is ideamorphically resonant as a meditation on the PHYSICAL INVARIANT – the unconditionally

stable material fact that cannot be altered by any ouverture. A boundary between Maryland and Pennsylvania is not a matter of perception or interpretation; it is a formal, measurable constraint. Yet the entry also probes the paradox: boundaries are simultaneously ontologically real and conceptually constructed. This mirrors ideamorphism's dual invariant structure: the physical invariant (the line exists) and the intentional invariant (why we draw it there, what it means). Boundaries are where diffraction CANNOT occur – they are the hard edges that force the wave to scatter. Understanding boundaries is understanding what resists transformation, what remains 1=1 even as everything else diffracts. This is foundational to engineering diffraction: you must know what cannot be lost.

T50 RSS assessment · 2026-07-18 · remplacée par boundary-lens-2026-08

REFERENCES

[1] Boundary. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-07-18. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/boundary/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	no purchase
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

a6ef22ffe0ae564e716ad288da8b824c21603ca48d4a5a8c3d29f985be962bd2

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author	Arnaud Quercy
Asset code	IDS-RNI0180
Identifier	NAN-RDG000127
Version	1
Published	2026-08-05

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-08-15

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/08/IDS-RNI0180-how-fiat-and-bona-fide-divisions-carve-contact-vagueness-and.pdf>