

Nanopublication – The given/instituted axis meets the pure-consciousness debate – and finds out it was scoped to authored artifacts

by Arnaud Quercy

The boundary: P3a's given/instituted distinction and P11's rule-not-organ claim genuinely grip the essentialism/constructivism axis of this entry, but only under a narrowed reading that keeps 'given' tied to an authored, disclosable artifact. Extended to a domain with no determinate emitter and a live dispute over whether anything is given prior to institution, the framework's own resources run out – it can name the structure of the disagreement but has no stake in resolving it, and no vocabulary at all for the adjacent question (religious diversity) of whether divergent receptions can be true rather than merely legitimate.

WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS [1]

The entry surveys philosophical treatments of 'mystical experience': its definition and classification (extrovertive/introvertive, dualistic/monistic, apophatic/kataphatic), its purported attributes (noetic quality, infallibility, paradoxicality), the long-running dispute over whether 'pure consciousness events' free of all conceptual content occur, the essentialist/perennialist claim of a culture-independent common core versus the constructivist claim that a mystic's conceptual scheme shapes or even wholly determines the experience itself, the epistemology of whether such experiences count as evidence for their own veridicality (Doxastic Practice Approach, Argument from Experience), the problem posed by contradictory mystical claims across traditions, naturalist/neuroscientific and secular readings, and questions of mysticism's relation to metaphysics, morality, and gender.

WHERE THE LENS GRIPS

P3a's given/instituted axis (the given as what is measured without the codex, the instituted as the rule only a disclosed codex deciphers) finds a genuine structural echo in the essentialism/constructivism debate over Pure Consciousness Events: essentialists and nonconstructivists argue for an unconceptualized 'given' layer of experience; strong constructivists (Katz) argue the mystic's conceptual

scheme 'over-determines' – institutes – the entire content, leaving nothing given beneath it. P11's revised claim that access runs through the rule, not the organ, matches this same move: the debate is not about which sense-organ fails to transmit, but about whether the conceptual scheme (the rule) constitutes the experience from the outset.

WHERE IT BREAKS

P3a slips IF one reads its given/instituted axis as a general ontology of experience: strong constructivism denies that any given layer can persist beneath institution at all ('we cannot have a pure awareness empty of all such content'), which is stronger than P3a's given – a layer P3a says the receiver cannot dissolve, received as constraint. It holds, and is simply silent, IF one reads P3a as scoped to its stated domain: the material fact of an authored WORK (dimensions, colors) encoded by a disclosable artist's codex. Mystical experience has no determinate emitter and no artifact whose given layer a sensor measures – the essentialism/constructivism dispute is precisely the question of whether a given exists prior to any authoring, a question the artwork-scoped formulation was never built to adjudicate. Relatedly, P17's equal-legitimacy-of-reception model meets pressure from the religious-diversity problem (Section 9.6): divergent mystical reports (personal God vs. impersonal Brahman) cannot all be equally legitimate AS TRUTH-CLAIMS the way divergent aesthetic diffractions can be equally legitimate as creative acts – the framework has no vocabulary for veridicality, only for creative legitimacy, and the source shows exactly where that silence bites.

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. "Not evaluated" does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by con-

trast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Evaluated

proposi- tion		audit status	relational verdict
P3a	Double Structure of the In-variant	audited	pressure-against
P11	Sensory Diffraction	audited ^[3]	evidence-for
P17	Multiplication of Creation	not audited	open-question

What was instructed. These propositions have been judged and carry a current statement: it is **that** statement the reading tested, not the founding one.

- **P3a** – judged in Note 05 · current statement : say GIVEN invariant and INSTITUTED invariant, never 'physical' and 'intentional' – the axis is MODE OF EXISTENCE, read as regime of access: the given is what a sensor measures on the surface WITHOUT the codex; the instituted is the rule that elects relations in that surface and that only the disclosed codex deciphers
- **P11** – judged in Note 03 · current statement : access runs through the RULE, not the organ – the senses are not ouvertures; probe the codex instead

Not evaluated (34) – P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: Bounded – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading’s judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-09-05 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 130,273 chars · 1 attempt(s)

REFERENCES

[1] Mysticism (Revised Entry). Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-09-05. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/mysticism/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

[3] Quercy, A. (2026). The Signal Is Mute About Its Rule. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/07/the-signal-is-mute-about-its-rule-5blm.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	bounded grip
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

20095cb6aaefa99e8024c98ae080f32a8e1b783f6611a23c9b53daa062ba66e3

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author Arnaud Quercy

Asset code IDS-RNI0243

Identifier NAN-RDG000196

Version 1

Published 2026-09-05

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-09-05

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/09/IDS-RNI0243-the-giveninstituted-axis-meets-the-pure-consciousness-debate.pdf>