

Nanopublication – Marcel's mystery resists the codex's demand to be fully deciphered

by Arnaud Quercy

The line falls at explicability: the given/instituted split rhymes cleanly with problem/mystery, but the demand that the instituted invariant be articulable on demand (P19a) crosses into what Marcel calls the spirit of abstraction – the reduction of mystery to a solvable problem – while the ricochet's open-ended, bilateral revelation (P18a) stays closer to genuine mystery. The framework grips where it lets the deep layer remain undischarged by dialogue; it breaks where it makes full explication a condition of completeness.

WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS [1]

The entry presents Gabriel Marcel's philosophy through a series of paired distinctions: the broken, functionalized world versus ontological exigence; having versus being; problem versus mystery; primary versus secondary reflection. A problem is something before me, addressable by technique, solvable identically by anyone; a mystery is something I am involved in, where my own identity as questioner is part of what is asked, and which cannot be reduced to a solution without ceasing to be what it is. Secondary reflection recovers the unity that primary reflection dissects, but this recovery is described as an intuitive, non-conceptual grasp that conceptual knowledge can never fully express. Marcel calls the habit of treating mysteries as if they were problems 'the spirit of abstraction,' and regards his entire philosophical project as a sustained resistance to it.

WHERE THE LENS GRIPS

Marcel's problem/mystery pair genuinely tracks the given/instituted split of P3a. The given invariant – 'what a sensor measures on the surface without the codex' – reads as Marcel's problem: before me, addressable by anyone, transferable. The instituted invariant – 'latent in the wave, activatable through dialogue,' deciphered only via the disclosed codex – reads as his mystery: a question in which involvement is constitutive. This is not a loose rhyme; Marcel's own vocabulary of 'participation' versus 'solution' maps almost point for point onto the given/instituted axis as reworded in P3a.

WHERE IT BREAKS

The grip holds until the framework demands closure. P19a requires that 'the artist must be able to articulate the intentional invariant when the artist or the receiver asks' – an invariant the artist cannot explain is merely 'opaque,' a deficiency to be corrected. P3a likewise models the instituted layer as something 'the disclosed codex deciphers,' i.e. a key that, once given, resolves it. Marcel names this exact move: turning a mystery into a problem degrades it – 'if [participation] were a solution it would cease to be a participation in a transcendent reality... and would be degraded in the process.' Secondary reflection culminates in an insight 'that conceptual knowledge can never fully express'; demanding full articulability on demand is precisely the spirit-of-abstraction gesture Marcel spends his career opposing. This break is contestable at one point: P18a's ricochet, where revelation 'generates a new [diffraction]' rather than closing the gap, could be read as preserving non-closure and thus staying mystery-shaped – the break holds cleanly against P19a's explicability clause but softens against P18a's dialogic, never-finished activation.

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Evaluated

proposi-tion		audit status	relational verdict
P3a	Double Structure of the Invariant	audited	pressure-against
P18a	The Ricochet Effect	not audited	open-question
P19a	Explicability as Condition	not audited	pressure-against

What was instructed. These propositions have been judged and carry a current statement: it is **that** statement the reading tested, not the founding one.

- **P3a** – judged in Note 05 · current statement : say GIVEN invariant and INSTITUTED invariant, never 'physical' and 'intentional' – the axis is MODE OF EXISTENCE, read as regime of access: the given is what a sensor measures on the surface WITHOUT the codex; the instituted is the rule that elects relations in that surface and that only the disclosed codex deciphers

Not evaluated (34) – P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P19, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: Bounded – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading's judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-09-14 · model claude-sonnet-5
· source 90,495 chars · 1 attempt(s)

REFERENCES

- [1] Gabriel (-Honoré) Marcel. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy, 2026-09-14. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/marcel/>
- [2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	bounded grip
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

c08fc426f8d1059b33297e9b03f54f59486c11e7c27dd8286998da1902aeccab

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

International (CC BY 4.0)

Author Arnaud Quercy

Asset code IDS-RNI0260

Identifier NAN-RDG000213

Version 1

Published 2026-09-14

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-09-14

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/09/IDS-RNI0260-marcel-s-mystery-resists-the-codex-s-demand-to-be-fully-deciph.pdf>