

# Nanopublication – The wall text's silence on Gaza marks where the lens's reception-vocabulary runs out ahead of its intention-vocabulary

by Arnaud Quercy

Bounded: the lens grips cleanly on the mechanics of reception (P13's irreversibility, P25's uncommanded arrival across an interval) but the same source stops exactly at the question of authorship of that effect – whether it was designed (P26) or emergent (P25 alone) – because the wall text's deliberate silence on Palestine is the one fact that would settle it, and the review reports the silence rather than resolving it.

CONTEXT

☒ **Thin page** – the source itself is thin (teaser, notice, paywalled preamble). Distinct from truncation: nothing was cut by the pipeline.

WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS [1]

Reviewing Arthur Jafa's New Museum survey 'I Am Tony,' the critic describes two large wallpapers: 'Allegiance,' a 1899 photo of Black schoolchildren giving what now reads as a 'Sieg Heil' salute (actually an old flag pledge), whose power the review attributes to the later, unintended resonance the gesture acquired in a 20th-century European context; and 'Rwanda, 1999,' Jafa's photo of bloodied garments at the Murambi genocide memorial, which the critic says is impossible to view without thinking of Gaza – a link the wall text does not make explicit, though the critic 'imagines its evocation was intentional on Jafa's part.'

WHERE THE LENS GRIPS

P13 (Generative Loss) grips on 'Allegiance': the review states the piece derives its power 'through shock, juxtaposition, and new connotations that become apparent out of context' – the meaning that survives is not the 1899 one but exactly what is lost and reconstituted, matching P13's claim that irreversibility, not intact transmission, is what makes an event happen. P25 (Universal Diffraction, current formulation) grips on the Rwanda/Gaza pairing: the critic's Gaza association arrives across a stacked interval (1994 genocide – 1999 photograph – 2026 viewing), is registered as an effect in the receiver, has a stated

cause (contemporary events), and is explicitly not something the wall text commands or confirms – the review's own hedge ('one imagines... though the wall text does not explicitly mention Palestine') is a plain-language restatement of 'diffraction is an effect in the receiver... no one disposes of the arrival.'

WHERE IT BREAKS

The review does not supply what would let the same case also confirm P26 (Induced Diffraction). Its own hedge – 'one imagines its evocation was intentional... though the wall text does not explicitly mention Palestine' – leaves undecided whether the Gaza reading was engineered by Jafa or arose purely on the receiver's side. P25 covers the event regardless of that answer; P26 requires evidence of deliberate structuring that this source withholds. This is not a refutation of either proposition, only the edge of what this text can settle.

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

**Read this grid.** “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Evaluated

| proposition |                       | audit status | relational verdict |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| P13         | Generative Loss       | not audited  | evidence-for       |
| P25         | Universal Diffraction | audited      | evidence-for       |
| P26         | Induced Diffraction   | not audited  | open-question      |

**What was instructed.** These propositions have been judged and carry a current statement: it is **that** statement the reading tested, not the founding one.

- **P25** – judged in Note 06 · current statement : diffraction is an effect in the receiver; the series takes Haraway's pattern, not her practice; what arrives can have causes, depend

on an activity, be learned and be changed afterwards without having been done; universal = no zero case, i.e. no reception without an interval from the emission

**Not evaluated (34)** – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P20, P21, P22, P23, P24, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

---

*Overall verdict: Bounded – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading's judgement is in the grid above.*

Reading of 2026-09-26 · model claude-sonnet-5  
· source 4,234 chars · 1 attempt(s)

#### REFERENCES

- 
- [1] Arthur Jafa, King of Pop. Hyperallergic, 2026-09-26. <https://hyperallergic.com/arthur-jafa-king-of-pop/>
- [2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

#### EPISTEMIC PROFILE

---

|                         |                                                                                                              |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Claim type</b>       | boundary reading                                                                                             |
| <b>Voice</b>            | third person analysis                                                                                        |
| <b>Epistemic status</b> | bounded grip                                                                                                 |
| <b>Methodology</b>      | Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed. |

---

#### CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

---

85c9d95a9e66ef52df53c1c486be71050fcb5375953875877213e814a5e4ef10

---

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

International (CC BY 4.0)

**Author** Arnaud Quercy

**Asset code** IDS-RNI0282

**Identifier** NAN-RDG000235

**Version** 1

**Published** 2026-09-26

---

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,  
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N  
Albany, NY 12207, USA  
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

[publishing.artquamanima.com](https://publishing.artquamanima.com)

Last updated: 2026-10-12

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/09/IDS-RNI0282-the-wall-texts-silence-on-gaza-marks-where-the-lens-receives.pdf>