

Nanopublication – A codex disclosed, but no receiver in sight

by Arnaud Quercy

The grip holds cleanly on the emission side – codex construction, formal/intimate split, explicability on request – because the source happens to describe exactly that process in the artist's own words. The edge is scope, not refutation: the article contains no receiver, no reception, no gap between intended and read icon – so the whole reception-side apparatus (diffraction, ricochet, multiplication of readings) has nothing in this source to engage. The piece is silent about reception, not resistant to the claims made about it.

CONTEXT

▲ **This reading did not pass its traceability check.** The check verifies one thing: that every proposition cited in the structured record is actually argued in the reading's prose. Published as-is, uncorrected. What was missing:

- routes_to P17 is not argued in the prose
 - verdict 'Bounded' includes 'Bounded', which requires grips_en and breaks_en, but grips_en=set / breaks_en=null. If the edge is real, put it in breaks_en – not only in line_en.
- ▣ **Thin page** – the source itself is thin (teaser, notice, paywalled preamble). Distinct from truncation: nothing was cut by the pipeline.

WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS [1]

ARTnews reports that Bon Iver will reissue 22, A Million for its tenth anniversary with an expanded booklet by artist Eric Timothy Carlson, who worked alongside the band during the album's original creation rather than illustrating it afterward. Carlson's new essay describes the album's genesis: songs were conceived numerically first, each track assigned an icon, and the band's standard Arabic numerals were kept legible while being reworked into distinct glyphs, ligatures and monograms. A press release lists recurring numerical motifs (binary code, Bible chapters, repeating infinities) tied to lyrical images, phrases and invented words across the tracklist.

WHERE THE LENS GRIPS

P14's split between a formal level (rules, system) and an intimate level (why these rules, not others) maps cleanly onto what Carlson's essay actually does: the numeral-to-glyph scheme is the formal level, and 'an unsettled numerology that calcified over time' is the intimate rationale, stated on request in the booklet – which is also exactly P19a's explicability condition in action, an artist articulating the rule rather than leaving it mute. P9's current formulation (unity as instituted, an effect of the codex, not its ground) also grips: the account presents the shared numerical/iconographic scheme as what binds sound and image here, not a prior shared interior – Carlson 'joined' as a rule-builder, and the unity is reported as the product of that joint rule, not its precondition.

WHERE IT BREAKS

Nothing – the reading reports none. The field is left empty by design: inventing an edge where the lens grips cleanly, or a grip where it fails, is the very fault this instrument exists to avoid.

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Evaluated

proposi-tion		audit status	relational verdict
P9	Multimodal Unity	audited [3]	evidence-for
P14	The Codex as Overture	not audited	evidence-for
P17	Multiplication of Creation	not audited	open-question
P19a	Explicability as Condition	not audited	evidence-for

What was instructed. These propositions have been judged and carry a current statement: it is **that** statement the reading tested, not the founding one.

- **P9** – judged in Note 02 · current statement :
unity is INSTITUTED – an effect of the codex,
not its ground
Not evaluated (33) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5,
P6, P7, P8, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14a, P14b,
P15, P16, P16a, P18, P18a, P19, P20, P21, P22,
P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: Bounded – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, predating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading's judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-10-01 · model claude-sonnet-5
· source 6,897 chars · 4 attempt(s)

REFERENCES

-
- [1] Bon Iver to Release 10th-Anniversary Edition of Album with Elaborate Artwork Elucidated. ARTnews, 2026-10-01. <https://www.artnews.com/art-news/news/bon-iver-22-million-10th-anniversary-edition-1234800129/>
- [2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>
- [3] Quercy, A. (2026). Instituted Unity: A Rule Suffices to Bind What No Space Grounds. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/07/instituted-unity-a-rule-suffices-to-bind-what-no-space-grounds-5bkx.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	bounded grip
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 4 attempt(s), traceability check FAILED.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

176af3ccb635e622df8adeca24fbefb9d04cc2871c65de8c2e02fa629f5f9b50

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Author Arnaud Quercy
Asset code IDS-RNI0303
Identifier NAN-RDG000256
Version 1
Published 2026-10-01

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC
c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA
+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-10-12

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/10/IDS-RNI0303-a-codex-disclosed-but-no-receiver-in-sight.pdf>