

Nanopublication – A collectively edited glossary matches 'coherence without unison' – but so would most anthologies

by Arnaud Quercy

The boundary is whether 'shared collective + individually chosen entries' counts as the co-dex-level alignment P20 claims, or is just the generic shape of an edited volume – the stub gives the shape but not the substance needed to tell them apart.

CONTEXT

☒ **Thin page** – the source itself is thin (teaser, notice, paywalled preamble). Distinct from truncation: nothing was cut by the pipeline.

WHAT THE SOURCE SAYS [1]

The review introduces 'Thinking From Black: A Lexicon,' edited by six scholars of the Practicing Refusal Collective, in which artists, poets, and academics each choose a word or phrase and offer their own non-definitive account of it, in the spirit of Kamau Brathwaite's 'nation language' – a resistance to colonial English's inability to hold Caribbean and diasporic experience. The book is framed as a 'diasporic glossary' offering no easy explanations, meant as a starting point for readers to sit with Black life's calamities, pleasures, and ceremonies rather than receive fixed definitions. The page itself is a paywalled stub: the substantive analysis is cut off after one paragraph.

WHERE THE LENS GRIPS

P20 (Emitter Synchronization) describes multiple emitters aligning through a shared frame while deliberately avoiding sameness – 'coherence with variation... do not play identical.' The book's actual structure matches this closely: six editors united under one collective project since 2015, soliciting individually chosen words from many contributors, explicitly refusing uniform or 'easy' definitions.

WHERE IT BREAKS

The match is real but the predicate is broad: almost any edited multi-author volume exhibits 'coherence with variation' – a shared title and theme, divergent individual contributions. The stub never shows whether the editors share

anything the framework would call a codex (a stipulated rule electing relations) versus simply a common topic and publisher. Nothing in the retrievable text distinguishes this book's alignment from ordinary anthology curation, so P20 cannot be confirmed at anything beyond the trivial level here.

PROPOSITIONAL GRID [2]

Read this grid. “Not evaluated” does **not** mean the source has nothing to say about that proposition. It means **the reading did not instruct it**. That is not a result, and it counts in no denominator. A silence, by contrast, is a finding: the reading touched the proposition without concluding, or noted its absence itself.

Evaluated

proposition		audit status	relational verdict
P20	Emitter Synchronization	not audited	triviality-warning

Not evaluated (36) – P1, P2, P3, P3a, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, P9, P10, P11, P12, P13, P14, P14a, P14b, P15, P16, P16a, P17, P18, P18a, P19, P19a, P21, P22, P23, P24, P25, P26, P27, P28, P29, P30, P31

Overall verdict: Bounded / Cheap fit – boundary case – taxonomy of the August 2026 pass, pre-dating the move to relational verdicts. Kept as metadata: the gap between the two forms is data, not residue. This reading's judgement is in the grid above.

Reading of 2026-10-07 · model claude-sonnet-5 · source 3,950 chars · 1 attempt(s)

REFERENCES

[1] "Thinking From Black" Is a Glossary of Possibility. Hyperallergic, 2026-10-07. <https://hyperallergic.com/thinking-from-black-is-a-glossary-of-possibility/>

[2] Quercy, A. (2026). The 31 Propositions of Ideamorphism. <https://ideamorphism.org/en/publications/2026/03/the-31-propositions-of-ideamorphism.html>

EPISTEMIC PROFILE

Claim type	boundary reading
Voice	third person analysis
Epistemic status	bounded grip
Methodology	Boundary-finding lens applied to one source, model claude-sonnet-5, 1 attempt(s), traceability check passed.

CHECKSUM (SHA-256)

3f9e912233eea8b8bd03c8c25081e71e9ee3e5593e9eba3042ae8217d392a6a7

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

International (CC BY 4.0)

Author Arnaud Quercy

Asset code IDS-RNI0312

Identifier NAN-RDG000265

Version 1

Published 2026-10-07

ISSN: applied for – U.S. ISSN Center, Library of Congress

© 2026 Ideamorphic Studies

Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York,
an assumed name of AQA Publishing LLC

c/o Northwest Registered Agent, 418 Broadway Ste N
Albany, NY 12207, USA

+1 917-764-5470

NY DOS ID 7624376 · Assumed Name ID 7658709

publishing.artquamanima.com

Last updated: 2026-10-12

Persistent URI: <https://ideamorphism.org/en/nanopubs/2026/10/IDS-RNI0312-a-collectively-edited-glossary-matches-coherence-without-uni.pdf>